


Remarks

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-13 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,757,551. In response, Applicants file herewith a terminal disclaimer. Accordingly, Applicants request that the Examiner withdraw the double patenting rejection.

Additionally, Applicants added claim 14 in the last correspondence to protect additional aspect related to the present invention. Claim 14 recites, *inter alia*, “wherein [a] core module lacks an independent power supply and is not functional as a computer when decoupled from [a] communications module.” Applicants submit that this claim element in combination with the remaining elements recited in claim 14 distinguish claim 14 from the prior art of record. However, the Examiner has not mentioned this claim in the Office Action. It is requested that the Examiner specifically point out a reason of rejection for this claim or indicate that it is allowable.

Respectfully submitted,


Christopher M. Tucker
Reg. No. 48,783
12701 Fair Lakes Circle
Suite 550
Fairfax, VA 22033
(703) 631-6925

Date Mailed: 1/20/06